

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/733,060	10/733,060 12/11/2003		Marcus Clark	AAI-14286	9544
	45483 7590 09/22/2005				EXAMINER	
	AUTOLIV Attn: Sally J			CHAMBERS, TROY		
	3350 Airport Rd				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	OGDEN, U	T 84405		3641		

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) CLARK, MARCUS	• 3	<u>•</u>			<i>y</i>						
Examiner Troy Chambers 3641	-,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ĭ						
Toy Chambers 3641 — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the proximous of 37 CFR 1.13(e). In ne event, however, may a reply be limby filed later \$0 K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period to reply is specified above, the resultation is will be pailed to become ABANDONED (38 U.S. € 130). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. If the proximor plant is the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, aven if timely filed, may reduce any common plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 July 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-4.8-10.12-14 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 1-4.8-10.12-14 and 18-20 is/are rejected to . 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4.8-10.12-14 and 18-20 is/are rejected to . 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4.8-10.12-14 and 18-20 is/are rejected to . 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐		Office Action Comments	10/733,060	CLARK, MARCUS	3						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eletherious of line may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1136(). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed inter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply velt by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. C, § 133). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is In condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-4.8-10.12-14 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified co	:	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of limin may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be liminally displant SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or educated period for reply will be statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office last than three mentions after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any scanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Seponsive to communication(s) filled on 19 July 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.65(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Ackn											
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estansions of time may be vaisable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In over, however, may a reply be timely filed glate SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Findure to reply whith the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causes the application to become ASANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office lated than the months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any local plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) ★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2005. 2a) ★ This action is FINAL. 2b) ★ This action is non-final. 3) ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ★ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) ★ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ★ Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) ★ Claim(s) 1-4.8-10.12-14 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) ★ Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) ★ Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 9) ★ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ★ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) ★ accepted or b) ★ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.21(d). 11) ★ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ★ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ★ All b) ★ Office Action or form the International Bureau (FCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Perio		n appears on the cover sl	neet with the correspondence ad	idress						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any										
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4.8-10.12-14 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) -7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Statu	S									
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4.8-10.12-14 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>19 July 2005</u> .								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	2a)										
Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4.8-10.12-14 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	3)										
4)		closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-4.8-10.12-14 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 5-7.11.15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Disposition of Claims										
5)	4)										
6) Claim(s) 1-4,8-10,12-14 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-7,11,15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
7) Claim(s) 5-7,11,15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	5)										
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	6)										
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	- :	_									
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requireme	ent.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Appli	cation Papers									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	9)	☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 200	03 is/are: a) ☐ accepted	or b)⊠ objected to by the Exar	niner.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	:	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).											
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	Priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 			manta hava baan rassiy	n d							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).											
	:										
		and the second s									
Association	044-										
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			4) [] Int	erview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2) 🔲 [Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	48) Pa	per No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:					O-152)						
		and Trademark Office		T							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offi PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species A (claims 1-28) in the reply filed on 07/19/05 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the retaining arms extending from the connector socket (claims 3, 11 and 21); at least two retaining arms snap-locked to the initiator element (claim 21) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Art Unit: 3641

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the inflator device" in lines 10 and 12 do not have antecedent basis (first occurrence in preamble is part of intended use statement and not positively recited); does not provide antecedent basis for "the at least two retaining arms" in claim 11; does not provide antecedent basis for "the at least one *electrical* connector" in claim 4. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 8-10, 13, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by US 6056314 issued to Shirk et al. (hereinafter "Shirk").
- 6. With respect to claim 1, Shirk discloses an initiator assembly comprising: an initiator 90 including an initiator cup 94 defining a storage chamber and at least one reactive charge (col. 2, II. 62-67) and an electrical connector 112, 114; a retainer 122; and, a connector socket 62. The initiator is connected to the connector via retainer 122.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,060 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

7. With respect to claim 2, the retainer connects to the connector through an axial opening as shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

- 8. With respect to claim 3, retainer 122 includes arms 132 with tabs ends.
- 9. With respect to claim 4, Shirk further discloses a raised rim 116; initiator arms 130 disposed on the retainer 122. The initiator arms 130 are configured to snap-lock with the raised rim 116 of the initiator.
- 10. With respect to claim 8, the raised rim 116 is circumferential.
- 11. With respect to claim 9, the arms 132 extend from the initiator as shown in Fig. 3.
- 12. With respect to claim 10, the connector includes a shoulder 70 for retention of the arms 132.
- 13. With respect to claims 13, 14 and 18, refer to the rejection of claims 1-4 and 8-10 above.
- 14. With respect to claim 21, Shirk discloses an initiator as discussed above and further including an adapter plate 42 with an opening in which the connector and retainer are located upon assembly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,060

Art Unit: 3641

16. Claims 12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirk. Shirk discloses an initiator assembly as discussed above. However, Shirk does not disclose a retainer disclosed of plastic. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a retainer made of plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. Moreover, it is well known in the art to substitute plastic for metal to decrease the weight of the desired assembly.

Page 5

Allowable Subject Matter

- 17. Claims 21-28 are allowed.
- 18. Claims 5-7, 11, 15-17 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited on form PTO-892 are cited as of interest to show similar
- 20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (571) 272-6874 between the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., M-F. If attempts to reach the

Application/Control Number: 10/733,060

Art Unit: 3641

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (571) 272-6873.

Troy Chambers, Examiner

Art Unit 3641

Page 6